

2020-21 School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP)

District	School Name	Principal	Grades Served
Newburgh Enlarged City School District	GAMS	Una Miller	K - 5

2018-19 Accountability Data

CSI Schools: In the space below, provide the levels (e.g. 1-4) that the school received for the "All Students" subgroup based on the 2018-19 school-level data for the accountability indicators below. Schools only need to complete the chart for the grade levels for which they have been identified (e.g. 7-12 schools identified for just Elementary and Middle can leave the HS indicator chart blank).

TSI Schools: In the space below, provide the levels (e.g. 1-4) that the school received for the subgroup(s) identified as TSI based on the 2018-19 school-level data for the accountability indicators below. Add additional rows if the school has more than two identified subgroups. Schools only need to complete the chart for the grade levels for which they have been identified (e.g. 7-12 schools identified for just Elementary and Middle can leave the HS indicator chart blank).

Elementary and Middle Indicators

Subgroup	Composite Performance Achievement Level	Student Growth Level	Combined Composite and Student Growth Level	English Language Proficiency Level	Average ELA and Math Academic Progress Level (Rounded Down)	Chronic Absenteeism Level
Multiracial 2018-19 ESSA Wkbk	Level 1	Level 1	Level 1			
Multiracial 2019-20 ESSA Wkbk	Level 1	Level 3	Level 2			Level 2

HS Indicators

Subgroup	Composite Performance Achievement Level	Average of 4-, 5-, and 6-year Graduatio n Rate Levels	Combined Composite Performance Achievement and Graduation Rate Level	English Language Proficienc y Level	Average ELA and Math Academic Progress Level	Chronic Absenteeis m Level	College, Career, Civic Readines s (CCCR) Level

Stakeholder Participation

Background

The SCEP must be developed in consultation with parents, school staff, and in secondary schools, students, and in accordance with §100.11 of Commissioner's Regulations. All schools are expected to follow the guidelines outlined in the document "Requirements for Meaningful Stakeholder Participation" found at: <u>http://www.nysed.gov/common/nysed/files/programs/accountability/scep-requirements-for-meaningful-stakeholder-participation.pdf</u>.

Required Steps

There are five distinct steps involved with developing the SCEP:

- 1. Reviewing multiple sources of feedback regarding data, practices, and resources to identify inequities, needs and root causes
- 2. Determining priorities and goals based on the needs identified
- 3. Identifying an evidence-based intervention
- 4. Scheduling activities to occur during the year to reach these goals and priorities, and identifying benchmarks for the goals identified
- 5. Identifying a plan to communicate the priorities with different stakeholders

Meeting Dates

Use the space below to identify the meeting dates when specific steps occurred by marking an "X" in the columns to the right. Add additional rows when necessary.

Meeting Date	Step 1: Reviewing multiple sources of feedback to identify inequities, needs and root causes	Step 2: Determining priorities and goals based on the needs identified	Step 3: Identifyin g an evidence- based intervention	Step 4: Schedulin g activities to occur during the year to reach these goals and priorities, and identifying benchmarks for the goals identified	Step 5: Identifying a plan to communicate the priorities with different stakeholders
6/2/20					
6/4/20					
6/10/20					
6/11/20	Х	Х			
6/16/20	Х	Х			
6/18/20	Х	Х			
7/2/20				Х	Х
7/9/20				х	Х
7/10/20			Х	Х	
7/16/20				x	

Stakeholder Participation

7/28/20			Х
8/6/20	Х	Х	Х
8/11/20	Х	Х	Х
8/12/20	Х	Х	Х
8/21/20	Х		

TSI Schools Only

Identify how the perspectives of stakeholders associated with the identified subgroup(s) have been incorporated.

Stakeholder group	How the perspectives of this group have been incorporated into the SCEP
Teachers responsible for	
teaching each identified	Members of the SLT represent this stakeholder group (ex: Reading Specialist,
subgroup	Classroom Teacher, and Special Education Teacher).
Parents with children from	Administration will share the SCEP with families for reflection and feedback via
each identified subgroup	live Google Meet.
Secondary Schools: Students	
from each identified subgroup	N/A

Stakeholder Involvement Signature Page

In the table below, list the individuals involved in the development of the SCEP, their relationship with the school, and the dates in which they participated. The dates should match the dates identified in the Meeting Date table completed previously.

THIS PAGE MUST BE PRINTED AND SCANNED AND SUBMITTED WITH THE SCEP. If the school is unable to obtain a signature from an individual, the school should write "Addendum attached" and explain why it was unable the signature of the individual. If an individual identified below has objections or concerns related to the SCEP, that team member shall note "Addendum Attached" next to his or her signature and provide, in a separate document, an explanation of the specific objections or concerns.

COVID-19 UPDATE: NYSED will reach out to Districts in mid-June to indicate if electronic signatures will be accepted for this page due to continued restrictions on travel and public gatherings.

		Dates Involved (enter m/dd in the space below and mark an X for each date the individual attended)															
Stakeholder Name	Role	6/ 02	6/ 04	6/ 10	<i>for</i> 6/ 11	6/ 16	6/ 18	e the 7/ 02	7/ 09	7/ 10	7/ 16	7/ 28	8/ 6	8/ 11	8/ 12	8/ 21	Signature
Una Miller	Principal	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		х	x		
Jeanette Logerfo	Assistant Principal	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				
Claudine Mazza	Teacher/NTA Head Delegate	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Alyssa Anderson	Teacher	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Carolyn Evans	Teaching Assistant	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	x		x	x	On file
Megan Mata	Teacher	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	x	
Christina Cloidt	NECSD Director of Instructional Support Services	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x							
Tara Marshall	Principal, Pre-K Center at Gidney Avenue	x	x	x	x	x	x	x									

Evidence-Based Intervention

All CSI and TSI schools must implement at least one evidence-based intervention as part of its SCEP. The intervention identified must meet the criteria of a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 evidence-based intervention under ESSA. More information can be found at: <u>http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/evidence-based-interventions</u>

Schools may choose **one of three options** for identifying their evidence-based intervention:

Option 1: Selecting a strategy from the **State-Supported Evidence Based Strategies** located at: <u>http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/state-supported-evidence-based-strategies</u>

Option 2: Selecting an evidence-based intervention **identified in one of three clearinghouses**: What Works Clearinghouse, Social Programs That Work, or Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development

Option 3: Reviewing research to identify its own evidence-based intervention that meets the criteria for ESSA evidence-based intervention Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 found at: http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/evidence-based-interventions

Directions: Place an "X" in the box next to the path the school has chosen for identifying its evidence-based intervention and follow the corresponding directions for that path.

□ State-Supported Evidence Based Strategy

If "X' is marked above, provide responses to the prompts below to identify the strategy and the goal(s) it will support:

Strategy Identified	
SCEP Goal(s) this strategy will support	

□ Clearinghouse-Identified

If "X' is marked above, provide responses to the prompts below to identify the strategy, the goal(s) it will support, the Clearinghouse that supports this as an evidence-based intervention, and the rating that Clearinghouse gave that intervention:

Strategy Identified					
SCEP Goal(s) this strateg	y will support	;			
	Clearingh	ouse use	d and corresponding rating		
	What Works	s Clearing	house		
		Rating:	Meets WWC Standards Without Reservations		
		Rating:	Meets WWC Standards With Reservations		
	Social Progr	ams That	t Work		
		Rating:	Top Tier		
		Rating:	Near Top Tier		
	Blueprints f	or Health	y Youth Development		
		Rating:	Model Plus		
		Rating: Model			
		Rating:	Promising		

□ School-Identified

If "X' is marked above, complete the prompts below to identify the strategy, the goal(s) it will support, and the research that supports this as an evidence-based intervention.

Strategy Identified	Accountable Independent Reading
SCEP Goal(s) this strategy will support	Tenet 4
Link to research study that supports	https://www.hmhco.com/~/media/sites/home/classroom/classroom-
this as an evidence-based intervention	solutions/independent-reading-
(the study must include a description	
of the research methodology	libraries/hmh_independent_reading_libraries2.pdf?la=en
	https://rdw.rowan.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3499&context=etd
	https://www.americanreading.com/documents/fcrr-report.pdf
	https://rdw.rowan.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3499&context=etd
	https://www.americanreading.com/documents/fcrr-report.pdf

DTSDE Pillar	DTSDE Sub- Pillar (if applicable)	June 2021 SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant, and Timely) Goal	Most Recent End-of-Year Data for the Same Measure as the Goal
1D Attendance	Proactive Emphasis	By the end of the school year, 25/29 classes will be tracking attendance daily for the purpose of recognizing improved and good (95%-100%) attendance monthly, as measured by standard monthly tracking sheets that will lead to a 3% increase in school-wide average daily attendance.	92.51% average daily attendance (as of 3/13/20) 2018-2019 EOY 92.36%

Tenet 1 Goal

Root Causes

What	theories or hypotheses does the school have as to why the school has its current outcomes for this goal?	Is this specific to certain sections of the school (grade/content area?)
1.	Regular recognition to students who have good or improved	No - all included
	attendance has not been individualized.	
2.	While the school was focusing on chronic absenteeism and perfect attendance, there is not a system for acknowledging good or improved attendance. Perfect attendance is only attainable by a very small cohort of students, so many students were unintentionally excluded from recognition. Therefore, many students weren't necessarily motivated by attendance initiatives.	Yes.

What wi	What will the school do in the first half of the year to address the root causes identified above?			
	(add additional rows as needed)			
Start	End	Action		
9/1/20	9/1/20	Administration will include monthly calendars to be used as the		
		attendance tracker in the Leadership binders. (1)		
9/1/20	9/1/20	During the initial Superintendent Conference day, administration will		
		share the expectations for the use of the attendance tracker daily and		
		parameters for good and improved attendance. (Improved means one		
		more day per week. Good means 95% per month). (2)		
7/2/20	9/1/20	SLT will create a Google Form for classroom teachers to share their plan		
		for recognizing students with good or improved attendance. (2)		
9/1/20	9/14/20	Classroom teachers will develop and share (via a Google Form) a plan for		
		recognizing students with good or improved attendance. (1)		
9/15/20	9/25/20	Admin team will review classroom plans for recognition of students. (1)		
9/1/20	9/18/20	SLT will create walkthrough tool for fidelity in classroom implementation		
		of tracking. (2)		

9/28/20	9/30/20	Classrooms will recognize good attendance for September and provide admin documentation that recognition occurred, for monitoring purposes only. (1)	
10/5/20	12/23/20	Administration will do two monthly walkthroughs (one each) using the walkthrough tool to measure fidelity of student attendance tracking. (2)	
9/1/20	12/23/20	Teachers will celebrate students with good or improved attendance weekly or monthly. (2)	
9/1/20	12/23/20	Office staff will collect daily, classroom perfect attendance and administration will announce daily on the announcements. Daily attendance rate will be posted on bulletin board near the main entrance. (2)	
10/1/20	12/23/20	Monthly faculty meeting teachers will share attendance successes. (2)	
10/26/20	10/30/20	Classrooms will recognize good and improved attendance for October and provide admin documentation that recognition occurred, for monitoring purposes only. (1)	
11/30/20	12/4/20	Classrooms will recognize good and improved attendance for November and provide admin documentation that recognition occurred, for monitoring purposes only. (1)	
1/4/21	1/8/21	Classrooms will recognize good and improved attendance for December and provide admin documentation that recognition occurred, for monitoring purposes only. (1)	

Identify the specific measure of progress towards the goal that you expect to see mid-year to know that you are on track to achieve the goal.			
Data Source January 2021 Target			
Walkthrough data	Valkthrough data 20/29 classes will be implementing daily tracking with fidelity and		
recognizing good and improved attendance monthly.			
ADM report in Infinite 95.0%			
Campus (daily attendance			
rate %)			

Mid-Year Student Performance Measure

Identify the specific improvements in student performance that you expect to see mid-year as a result of the improvement in practices. This should represent an improvement from the same data from January 2020. **(This is required for Tenet 3 and Tenet 4 goals and is optional for other Tenets)**

Add additional rows when necessary if there are multiple data sources or if the school has identified targets for specific grade levels.

Data Source	January 2020 Performance	January 2021 Target
n/a		
n/a		

If the school is successful in achieving its Mid-Year Benchmark(s), what will the school do in the second half of the year to address the root causes identified above? (add additional rows as needed)			
Start	End	Action	
1/4/21	6/25/21	Administration will do two monthly walkthroughs (one each) using the walkthrough tool to measure fidelity of student attendance tracking. (2)	
1/4/21	6/25/21	Teachers will celebrate students with good or improved attendance weekly or monthly. (2)	
1/4/21	6/25/21	Office staff will collect daily, classroom perfect attendance and administration will announce daily on the announcements. Daily attendance rate will be posted on bulletin board near the main entrance.(2)	
1/4/21	6/25/21	Monthly faculty meeting teachers will share attendance successes.(2)	
2/1/21	2/5/21	Classrooms will recognize good and improved attendance for January and provide admin documentation that recognition occurred, for monitoring purposes only. (1)	
2/8/21	2/26/21	SLT will meet, review the January benchmark on attendance recognitions and ADM to determine whether adjustments to the plan need to be made.	

Planning for January to June

Addressing COVID-19 Related Challenges

It is likely that extended school closure could create additional needs beyond the root causes identified earlier that could present challenges in achieving this goal. In the space below, identify the closure-related needs the school has considered for this specific goal and how the school intends to address these needs. (add additional rows as needed)

address these needs. (add additional rows as needed)			
Need	Strategy to Address	When	
Ex: Curriculum Maps need	Convene vertical teams to ensure last year's	August	
updating	missed content is covered in Fall.		
Need student engagement	School-wide system for teachers to track	By 9/1/20	
and participation during	daily participation and submitting work.		
digital learning.	Common expectations for family outreach.		
Students to track daily	Use virtual leadership binders and input	By 9/1/20	
attendance	monthly calendars for students to insert an		
	emoji/mark on each day they participate in		
	virtual learning		

Tenet 4 Goal

DTSDE Pillar	DTSDE Sub-Pillar (If applicable)	June 2021 SMART Goal	Most Recent End-of-Year Data for the Same Measure as the Goal
4E Instructional Techniques that Deepen Engagement	Individualization	By the end of the school year, 75% of classroom teachers will be able to identify a specific reading deficit and identify and implement interventions to address the deficit, resulting in a reduction of the percentage of students in the Tier 3 group by 20% in EOY iReady Reading Assessments.	Spring 2020 Intervention Data Trackers: -52% of teachers identified learning deficits for their students 2018-2019 EOY iReady Reading Diagnostic: - 25% of students in Tier 3 group - Down from 49% BOY

Root Causes

What theories or hypotheses does the school have as to why the school has its current outcomes for this goal?	Is this specific to certain sections of the school (grade/content area?)
1. Inconsistent training and professional development around looking at data and then developing next steps based on data.	No
2. Lack of focus around which tool/resource is most appropriate for that particular skill/standard.	No
3. GAMS has been very focused on specific instructional resources rather than on good instructional practices which work regardless of the resources available.	No

What will the school do in the first half of the year to address the root causes identified above?				
	(add additional rows as needed)			
Start	End	Action		
9/1/20	9/10/20	Administration will provide staff with PD (refresher of the GAMS		
		intervention tracker and it's expectations to be used as the only data		
		tracking tool for guiding good instructional practices).(1)		
9/14/20	10/5/20	During the first round of PLCs, administrators and literacy technology		
		specialist will guide teachers in their analysis of their students' iReady and		
		IRLA ELA data and identify students to be addressed in the GAMS		
	intervention tracker.(1,2)			
10/5/20	10/16/20	Administration will review completed intervention trackers to identify		
	support needs for staff and possible continued PD. (1)			

10/19/20	12/23/20	The technology literacy specialist will push into classrooms to support the	
		classroom teachers (identified by admin review and voluntary) with	
		reading conferencing and instructional practices. (1, 3)	
9/14/20	12/23/20	The technology literacy specialist will coordinate PD for PLCs (using data	
		to target interventions).(1)	
9/14/20	12/23/20	During monthly PLCs, teachers will use their GAMS intervention data	
		tracker to identify evidenced based interventions to target student needs.(3)	
9/1/20	12/23/20	Teachers will use the resources available from digital platforms supported by the district for interventions. (3,1)	
9/1/20	12/23/20	Administration will schedule AIS providers and administration to be	
		included in grade level PLCs to support teachers with their data analysis	
		and intervention planning. (2,3)	

Identify the specific measure of progress towards the goal that you expect to see mid-year to know that you are on track to achieve the goal.

Data Source	January 2021 Target
Intervention Tracker Submission	65% of classroom teachers will be able to identify a specific reading
	deficit and identify and implement interventions to address the deficit.

Mid-Year Student Performance Measure

Identify the specific improvements in student performance that you expect to see mid-year as a result of the improvement in practices. This should represent an improvement from the same data from January 2020. (This is required for Tenet 3 and Tenet 4 goals.)

Add additional rows when necessary if there are multiple data sources or if the school has identified targets for specific grade levels.

Data Source	January 2020 Performance	January 2021 Target
iReady reading diagnostic	13% reduction of students in Tier 3	15% reduction of students in Tier 3

Planning for January to June

	If the school is successful in achieving its Mid-Year Benchmark(s), what will the school do in the		
second half o	of the year to	address the root causes identified above? (add additional rows as needed)	
Start	End	Action	
1/4/21	6/25/21	The technology literacy specialist will push into classrooms to support the classroom teachers with reading conferencing and analyzing student reading data. (1,3)	
1/4/21	6/25/21	Using the intervention tracker, teachers will adjust the targeted student list. (3)	
1/4/21	6/25/21	During monthly PLCs teachers will analyze reading data from iReady, IRLA and determine strategies to address skill needs (continue using intervention tracker) (2,3)	

Addressing COVID-19 Related Challenges

It is likely that extended school closure could create additional needs beyond the root causes identified earlier that could present challenges in achieving this goal. In the space below, identify the closure-related needs the school has considered for this specific goal and how the school intends to address these needs. (add additional rows as needed)

	,	
Need	Strategy to Address	When
Ex: Curriculum Maps need	Convene vertical teams to ensure last year's	August
updating	missed content is covered in Fall.	
Grade level collaboration	Virtual PLC time	TBD
around student academic		
needs		
Instructional tools/resources	Instructional tools/resources available for at	Throughout year, as
to target learning	home/digital use for both asynchronous	needed
challenges/specific skills and	and synchronous instruction	
strategies need to have		
digital component		
Student intervention	Schedule synchronous sessions with	As determined by
instruction needs to occur	students	teacher/interventionis
synchronously in a virtual		t based upon student
environment		need

DTSDE Pillar	DTSDE Sub- Pillar (if	June 2021 SMART Goal	Most Recent End-of-Year Data for the Same Measure as the
Filidi	applicable)		Goal
5A Establish Schoolwide Practices and Policies that Support	Schoolwide Approach	By the end of the school year, randomly selected students in 20/29 classes will be able to <u>state the</u> <u>current Zone</u> of Regulation they are experiencing <u>and explain why</u> they are in that Zone as measured during specific walkthroughs, student	2017-2018: 559 referrals 2018-2019: 622 referrals 9/2018-2/2019: 343 referrals 9/2019-2/2020: 329 referrals
SEL		interviews, etc., resulting in a 5% overall reduction in discipline referrals.	

Tenet 5 Goal

Root Causes

What	theories or hypotheses does the school have as to why the school has its current outcomes for this goal?	Is this specific to certain sections of the school (grade/content area?)
1.	Students have INCONSISTENT opportunities to develop SEL core	No
	competencies throughout the day	
2.	There is a lack of universal understanding of core competencies due to a lack of explicit defining characteristics of the core competencies such as including a crosswalk of LIM and the Zones of Regulation. This year's rollout was incomplete.	No
3.	Lack of student understanding of application of LIM and Zones of Regulation throughout their day to increase SEL success	No

What wil	What will the school do in the first half of the year to address the root causes identified above? (add additional rows as needed)		
Start	End	Action	
9/1/20	11/1/20	SLT/SST will create a Zones of Regulation reflection document to be used	
		during a behavior conference	
9/1/20	11/1/20	SLT/SST will create a Leader in Me and Zones of Regulation "crosswalk"	
		document so that staff have a common understanding of what each	
		resource provides and how they support one another	
9/1/20 11/1/20 SLT will create a walkthrough tool to assess student's knowledge and use			
		of common language of the Zones of Regulation	
7/2/20	9/1/20	SEL period daily in master schedule for explicit instruction on the Zones of	
		Regulation, as well as Leader in Me lessons.	

9/1/20	12/23/20	Staff will use the SEL period to explicitly teach the common language of Zones of Regulation and Leader in Me lessons.
9/1/20	11/1/20	During PD or faculty meeting time, the SEL team will present the Zones of Regulation to all staff and administration will set the expectations for the use of common language throughout the day. Staff will also receive and review the "crosswalk" document as well as the Zones of Regulation Reflection document.
9/2/20	12/2/20	All students will receive Zones of Regulation intro/refresher lesson, delivered by experienced staff in person.
12/2/20	1/31/21	Administration will do two monthly walkthroughs (one each) using the walkthrough tool to measure students' use of common language

Identify the specific measure of progress towards the goal that you expect to see mid-year to know that you are on track to achieve the goal.

Data Source	January 2021 Target
Walkthrough tool data	15/29 classes with students able to identify Zone and explain why they
	are in that Zone.
SafeSchools discipline	2.5% reduction in discipline referrals
referral data	

Mid-Year Student Performance Measure

Identify the specific improvements in student performance that you expect to see mid-year as a result of the improvement in practices. This should represent an improvement from the same data from January 2020. (This is required for Tenet 3 and Tenet 4 goals and is optional for other Tenets.)

Add additional rows when necessary if there are multiple data sources or if the school has identified targets for specific grade levels.

Data Source	January 2020 Performance	January 2021 Target
n/a		
n/a		

Planning for January to June

If the school	If the school is successful in achieving its Mid-Year Benchmark(s), what will the school do in the		
second half	second half of the year to address the root causes identified above? (add additional rows as needed)		
Start	End	Action	
1/4/21	6/25/21	Administration will do two monthly walkthroughs (one each) using the	
		walkthrough tool to measure students' use of common language	
1/4/21	6/25/21	Staff will continue to use Zones of Regulation Reflection document for	
		behavior conferences.	

1/4/21	6/25/21	Staff will use the SEL period to explicitly teach the common language of
		Zones of Regulation and Leader in Me lessons.

Addressing COVID-19 Related Challenges

It is likely that extended school closure could create additional needs beyond the root causes identified earlier that could present challenges in achieving this goal. In the space below, identify the closure-related needs the school has considered for this specific goal and how the school intends to address these needs. (add additional rows as needed)			
Need	Need Strategy to Address When		
Push out of common language	Grade level Google Meet with Lofaro	Weekly	
Parent education around the Zones of Regulation for support at home	Synchronous sessions to introduce the Zones during GAMS Family Meets. Asynchronous resources shared via GAMS Family Google Classroom	Intro sessions in September with follow- up/reinforcement sessions every 2-3 months.	

Tenet 6 Goal

DTSDE Pillar	DTSDE Sub- Pillar (if applicable)	June 2021 SMART Goal	Most Recent End-of-Year Data for the Same Measure as the Goal
6B Systems for Engagement and Empowerment		All GAMS teachers will provide regular communication to families resulting in an increase of 4% in the parent response to the K12 survey question "I am satisfied with how well the school communicates with me."	2019-2020 K12 Parent Survey Data: "I am satisfied with how well the school communicates with me." 86% agree/strongly agree 2019-2020 Communication app data: 17/29 classes using ClassDojo Average 85% of families connected to each class in ClassDojo

Root Causes

What theories or hypotheses does the school have as to why the school has its current outcomes for this goal?	Is this specific to certain sections of the school (grade/content area?)
 Families are contacted inconsistently by teachers to discuss their children's progress. 	No
 Specific school-wide requirements for regular family communication have not been established, so individual teachers do what they feel is appropriate. 	No

What will the school do in the first half of the year to address the root causes identified above?		
	1	(add additional rows as needed)
Start	End	Action
8/17/20	9/1/20	Administration will establish specific requirements for teachers to contact
	families regularly. These requirements will be differentiated depending on	
		the setting for learning (i.e. virtual, hybrid, in person)
9/1/20	9/4/20	Administration will communicate requirements for family contact to all
		staff during initial Superintendent's Conference Days.
8/10/20	8/17/20	Administration will create a Family Hub Google Site to share pertinent
		information to families including a school directory.

9/1/20	1/31/21	Administration reinforces the importance of communication to families
		through monthly newsletters, uploaded to the Family Hub Google Site and
		shared through communication apps.
8/10/20	8/17/20	Administration will create a place in the Family Hub Google Site where
		families are able to ask a question or express a concern.
9/1/20	1/31/21	Evidence of teacher communication will be documented weekly in Infinite
		Campus contact log and/or relevant communication app.
9/1/20	1/31/21	Teachers will send home monthly grade level/grade band instructional up-
		dates using a standard template collaboratively during the school day.
9/1/20	10/5/20	SLT to create and send survey to families to determine preferred method
		of communication.
9/5/20	10/5/20	GAMS systems of communication overview sessions will be available for families throughout the first month of school in both English and Spanish so that they are aware of the functionalities of ClassDojo/Seesaw, Google Classroom and of their child's teacher's direct phone/text line (Google Voice). Recordings will also be posted for asynchronous learning for families throughout the year.
10/15/20	11/1/20	SLT will review family contact data (PLP log sampling, communication app posts, etc.) to determine staff communication with families. Data results will be shared with all staff via staff newsletter and/or at staff meetings.

Data Source	January 2021 Target
that you are on track to achiev	
Identify the specific measure of progress towards the goal that you expect to see mid-year to know	

Data Source	January 2021 Target
School-created MOY survey	88% of parents are satisfied with how well the school communicates
	with them

Mid-Year Student Performance Measure

Identify the specific improvements in student performance that you expect to see mid-year as a result of the improvement in practices. This should represent an improvement from the same data from January 2020. **(This is required for Tenet 3 and Tenet 4 goals and is optional for other Tenets)**

Add additional rows when necessary if there are multiple data sources or if the school has identified targets for specific grade levels.

Data Source	January 2020 Performance	January 2021 Target
n/a		
n/a		

Planning for January to June

If the school is successful in achieving its Mid-Year Benchmark(s), what will the school do in the second half of the year to address the root causes identified above? (add additional rows as needed)		
Start	End	Action
2/1/21	6/25/21	SLT will continue review of family contact data (PLP log sampling, communication app posts, etc.) to determine staff communication with families. Data results will be shared with all staff via staff newsletter and/or at staff meetings.
2/1/21	6/25/21	Admin will follow up with staff who need assistance with family communication
2/1/21	6/25/21	Administration reinforces the importance of communication to families through monthly newsletters, uploaded to the Family Hub Google Site and shared through communication apps.
2/1/21	6/25/21	Evidence of teacher communication will be documented weekly in Infinite Campus contact log and/or relevant communication app.
2/1/21	6/25/21	Teachers will send home monthly grade level/grade band instructional up- dates using a standard template collaboratively during the school day.

Addressing COVID-19 Related Challenges

It is likely that extended school closure could create additional needs beyond the root causes identified earlier that could present challenges in achieving this goal. In the space below, identify the closure-related needs the school has considered for this specific goal and how the school intends to address these needs. (add additional rows as needed)

Need	Strategy to Address	When
To continue communicating with parents.	The actions in the Tenet 6 action plan can be implemented in the varied school scenarios that we may face this year.	TBD

Survey Goal

Stakeholder Group	Survey Question	2021 Target Responses	2020 Results (if no survey was conducted in 2020, indicate that the results are from 2019)
Teachers	I am supported by my	68% agree/strongly agree	62% agree/strongly
	students' parents/guardians.		agree

Root Causes

V	What theories or hypotheses does the school have as to why the school received the results
	identified above?
1.	Lack of communication/response from families calls not returned, lack of updated contact
	info, notes not returned
2.	Language barriers, inconsistent access to tech/phones
3.	Lack of expectations for families from the school/district about their responsibilities in their
	child's education

What will the school do in the first half of the year to address the root causes identified above?			
	(add additional rows as needed)		
Start	End	Action	
7/10/20	9/1/20	SLT create survey for teachers to get understanding of what they	
		need/expect in order to feel supported by families. Team will use	
		language of K12 survey and then expand upon those questions.	
8/1/20	8/31/20	Admin will create an "expectations" section in the family handbook	
		regarding their child's education.	
9/1/20	9/30/20	Admin will hold focus groups or virtual meetings with families to discuss	
		and make comments regarding expectations for their child's education.	
		Revisions will be made to the family handbook accordingly.	
9/1/20	12/23/20	Parent/family expectations will be discussed and reinforced through	
		multiple modalities.	
9/1/20	9/11/20	Baseline teacher survey will be administered	
10/1/20	12/23/20	Admin will use results of BOY teacher survey to shape monthly messaging	
		to families in Family Newsletter	

Identify what the school will review mid-year and what specifically you expect to see in the results of that data to know that you are on track to achieve the goal.

Add additional rows when necessary if there are multiple targets across multiple sources of data.		
Data Source January 2021 Target		
School-created MOY	hool-created MOY 65% of teachers agree/strongly agree that they are supported by families.	
survey		
ClassDojo 80% of families are connected to ClassDojo		

Planning for January to June

If the school is successful in achieving its Mid-Year Benchmark(s), what will the school do in the				
second half of the year to address the root causes identified above? (add additional rows as needed)				
Start	End	Action		
1/4/21	6/25/21	Parent/family expectations will be discussed and reinforced through		
		multiple modalities and an update to the family handbook.		
1/4/21	6/1/21	Admin will use results of BOY and MOY teacher survey to shape monthly		
		messaging to families in Family Newsletter		
5/1/21	6/5/21	EOY teacher survey will be administered		
1/4/21	1/10/21	MOY teacher survey will be administered		

Addressing COVID-19 Related Challenges

It is likely that extended school closure could create additional needs beyond the root causes identified earlier that could present challenges in achieving this goal. In the space below, identify the closure-related needs the school has considered for this specific goal and how the school intends to address these needs. (add additional rows as needed)

Need	Strategy to Address	When	
To get input from stakeholders.	The actions in the Survey action plan can be implemented in the varied school scenarios that we may face this year.	TBD	

Submission Assurances

Directions: Place an "X" in the box next to each item prior to submission.

- Image: The School Comprehensive Education Plan (SCEP) has been developed in consultation with parents, school staff, and others in accordance with the requirements of Shared-Decision Making (CR 100.11) to provide a meaningful opportunity for stakeholders to participate in the development of the plan and comment on the plan before it is approved.
- 2. As part of the root cause analysis process, the school reviewed inequities, including resource inequities within the school, and investigated areas of low performance to identify strategies to address inequities within the school and promote improved student outcomes.
- 3. It is the signature of those that participated in the development of the SCEP. If the school was unable to obtain a signature of an individual that participated in the development of the SCEP, the school has written "Addendum Attached" and supplied supplemental documentation to explain why the school was unable to obtain the individual's signature.
- 4. It is seen to be a seen that the segment of the first day of regular student attendance.
- 5. Image Professional development will be provided to teachers and school leaders that will fully support the strategic efforts described within this plan.

Submission Instructions

CSI Schools: Submit to <u>SCEP@nysed.gov</u> the following documents:

- 1. SCEP
- 2. A scanned copy of the Stakeholder Involvement Signature Page.
 - If the school was unable to obtain a signature, an additional document has been provided as outlined in Item #3 in the Submission Checklist above.
 - This requirement may change as a result of continued restrictions on travel and public gatherings. NYSED will reach out to districts in mid-June to indicate if electronic signatures will be accepted in lieu of the Stakeholder Involvement Signature Page.

TSI Schools: The items noted above should be provided to your District, which will approve the plan.

The final plan must be approved by the Superintendent and the Board of Education (in New York City, the Chancellor or the Chancellor's designee)